at single Patula harpa, Helix labyrinthica and Zonites arboreus. An old oak stump in the pasture was the hiding place of Helix monolon. Beneath fern roots in a clump of oak trees in the intervale I discovered Macrocyelis concava, Succinea obliqua and Vitrina limpida, perhaps the most slimy and difficult to handle of all shells, after being plunged into hot water previous to cleaning. Lastly, where the brook moistened the mossy roeks and the roots of the $\underline{g}$ reat beach trees, was the lome of the plainly beatiful Helix alloolabris. Only in one spot did I find the asteriscus. Perbaps Morse found his type specimens in that identical spot. Whether he did or not is immaterial, but he could hardly have fomd a better place, or experienced more pleasure in the discovery than I did.

It was Edward S. Morse who remarked that the multitude of intelligent young people who spend hours in the puzzle departments of magazines, and obtain simply an answer to clever word juggling, could find equally entertaining puzzles in Niature's book, the solving of which would bring more than mere words. Ther would find that the life history of natural ohjects had all the fiscination of a well-written story-the turning of every page, arousing increased interest in what might follow.

Our young students of conchology should he induced to look among the little shells for new discoveries. Anyody can pick up Helix albolabris in its home, but only those who know what they are looking for can find Planogya asterisens. Let us see if the influence of Morse and his Bethel shells can be made to animate a new generation of pupils.

Emward Wr. Roper.

## NOTE ON CREPIDULA GLAUCA SAY.

BY W. II. HALL.

My friend, Mr. John Ford, raises the question of the omission of this alleged species from the list of Mollusks of our southeastern coast and asks for an explanation. In reply. I would say that the specimens named C. glanca by Stimpson and others among the older
naturalists, which have come muler my notice have all appeared to me to be referable to C formicatn (L) Lamb, or to the varicty of C. convexa Say which has grown upon a broad, not very consex, or perhaps a partly concave surface. A fine series of shells from ('inje May, submitted to me by Mr. Ford as C. glauch come under the latter category. They are distinct from C. formicutu, bit they do not show the characters called for by Say's description, which in itself presents no features to distinguish the shell he describes from the young and spotted form of C. formicuta, to which I have no doubt it belonged. Such shells are in the National Musemm labeled C. glauca by Stimpson. It may not be known to all our conchologists that the extremely high and usmally dam-colored forms of Cicpidula, such as $C$. convexa (typical) and C. actuncu of C'alifomia, derive their peenliar appearance fiom roosting on some gasteropod, and that wider and less elevated forms of the same species can almost always be found, if not in the same locality, at least in a gengraphical series of wide range. The difficulties, in the way of a satisfactory determination of such semi-parasitic forms as the Culyptraide are very great, and increase in my experience with the amount of material subjecter to study. That there may be a distinct form entitled to be called C. glancu I do not wish positively to deny, but I must confes that I have never seen one and therefore have grave doubts of its existence.

## A LIST OF THE MOLLUSCA OF COLORADO.

(Compiled for the Coloralo Biological Association.)

BY T. D. A. ('OCKERELL.

In the "Journal of Concholocry", vol. vi, pp. 60-65, I gave some preliminary remarks on the Mollusea of Colorado, stating that from the lack of records and other reasons, a complete list could not then be prepared. Since then a considerable amount of material and information has been gathered, and while much remains unknown, there is sufficient ascertained to seem to warrant the presentation of a fimmal list. In this list the localities are not all given in detail, as

